

From: [Amanda Leahy](#)
To: [White, Elizabeth \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: Re: Balboa Reservoir - question from James
Date: Thursday, May 16, 2019 4:25:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi, Liz -

The transit assessment memo incorporates analysis of the design concepts we discussed at that meeting (yes you pulled up the correct notes).

Our analysis shows that the split phasing would not work, the extended leading ped interval could be incorporated and would not result in substantial delays to transit or queue impacts, the extended boarding island would not reduce speed of SBL turning vehicles in the way we had hoped without impacting NBT movements.

The EIR incorporated this analysis in that it assumes the SB approach restriped as a SBTR & SBL — this change was assumed for all options analyzed and was shown to have the most favorable effect on reducing SBL turn queue and delay which would (hopefully) reduce the aggressive driving that James was concerned about.

Amanda Leahy, AICP | Senior Planner | [Kittelson & Associates, Inc.](#)

Pronouns: she/her/hers

[510.433.8058](tel:510.433.8058) (direct) | [415.798.1500](tel:415.798.1500) (cell)

On May 16, 2019, at 13:29, White, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.white@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Amanda,

Quick question, I just got SFMTA comments back and James had the following comment:

“Where did we end up with the issue I flagged regarding pedestrian hazards at Lee/Ocean? I have serious concerns about the high number of southbound left turns the project will be introducing to this intersection, in combination with existing and developer-introduced pedestrian volumes. There have been two separate fatal collisions involving pedestrians just blocks away on Ocean. These crashes had the same exact dynamic of the issue I flagged. Solutions to this issue will likely cascade into the transit delay analysis.”

I’m having trouble remembering what we landed on – I thought we looked at a couple of concepts but ultimately decided that this wouldn’t be a significant issue for our CEQA analysis? Did I pull up the correct meeting notes where we discussed this issue as well?

Thanks,
Liz

<Balboa Reservoir_Lee Ave Loading_Feb21_Final Notes_Mar42019.docx>